# Science of reading behavior: how and what do you read? Pascual Martínez-Gómez, Chen Chen, Kyohei Tomita Tadayoshi Hara, Yoshinobu Kano, Akiko Aizawa



### **Readability Diagnosis**

Word consumption



• 100.500 words for avg. person on avg. day. • Technicality • 35K words per day from text. • Layout Challenges: • Syntactic complexity

Text and Personal influence on Cognitive effort Document

> • Background knowledge • Native language • Emotional state

Currently, discriminative models for readability prediction:

#### $\hat{r} = \operatorname{argmax} \Pr\left(r \mid l_1, \ldots, l_m\right)$

Generative models necessary for cause diagnosis:



- Generative models allow to: • Marginal MAP (e.g.  $MAP(\hat{\ell} | \mathbf{Lex}^{high}, r^{good}))$ • Sensitivity analysis
- Hypothesis testing



• Combine linguistic and eye data. • Quantitative analysis for inference.

• Semantic consistency, etc.

• Working memory, etc.

### Image registration for text-gaze alignment

Motivation

- Increase recognition accuracy beyond sensor capabilities.
- Variable and systematic errors.
- No assumption on reading behavior.

Raw gaze data when reading



Formalization • Define a linear transformation as:

 $g_{a,b}: (x,y) \to (x,y \cdot b + a)$ 

where *a* is a translation and *b* is a scaling. • Objective function:

 $f(\mathbf{G}_{a,b}, \mathbf{W}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} |\mathbf{G}_{a,b}(i,j) - \mathbf{W}(i,j)|$ 

• Optimization problem:



• Solution space is not convex.



### **Optimizing Comma Placement for Chinese Readability**



Text width optimization based on gaze data

Raw gaze data

Optimization strategies Low-resolution gaze data

Blurred gaze data



### **Recognizing Personal Attributes**



Motivation for document layout optimization • More opportunities to read texts on electronic devices. • Easy-to-read document layout depends on person. • Document layout on electronic device can be personalized.

#### Text width optimization

• Minimize total return sweep time during sequential reading. •  $\bar{t}_{RS}(w)$  : average return sweep time when reading text of width w. •  $\bar{t}_{RS}(w)$  can be computed from gaze data on text of width w. • Estimate  $\overline{t}_{RS}(w_i)$  for different  $w_i$  and minimize objective function.

#### Return sweep is key for optimal text width

The nature of this dark energy is a matter of speculation. It is thought to be very homog not very dense and is not known to interact through any of the fundamental

### Prediction of word fixations/skips by readers

• Clues: surface, POS, length, frequency, etc.

- Prediction with 0.95 similarity to observed data (for distribution across readers)
- Regardless of individuality / unstableness  $\rightarrow$  general reading strategy

Eye-movement data in reading text by English natives (Kennedy, 2003)





#### Objective function:

Parse Tree

Treebank

Commas



"Subjects with high understanding can be distinguished from subjects with low understanding."





#### skipped fixation →: saccade

#### **Future Work and Challenges**

#### Future Work

• Real-time text-gaze alignment.

• (Semi-)automatic readability optimization.

• Diagnose causes of understanding.

• Layout optimization.

• Eye data for adaptive interfaces.

#### Challenges

• Text-gaze alignment with low-quality sensors.

• Formal framework to estimate cognitive effort using:

- -Fixation time and locations,
- -Saccades,
- -Regressions,
- Pupil diameter size changes.

## NII Contact: Prof. Akiko Aizawa **Digital Content and Media Sciences Research Division**

National Institute of Informatics E-mail: gazeNLP@nii.ac.jp