#### **Resource Analysis of a Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computer**



Yoshihisa Yamamoto, Cody Jones, Rodney Van Meter 最先端研究開発支援プログラム「量子情報処理プロジェクト」全体会議2011 (京都 2011年12月13-16日)

# **Problem Statement**



#### **Physical Qubits**

Cavity QED Systems with Single-Electron-Doped Quantum Dots —



Layered architecture for quantum computing

N. Cody Jones, Rodney Van Meter, Austin G. Fowler, Peter L. McMahon, Jungsang Kim, Thaddeus D. Ladd, and Yoshihisa Yamamoto arXiv:1010.5022v2 [quant-ph] 5 Dec 2011





A simple planar microcavity with 2D lattice of site-controlled QDs

C. Schneider, M. Strauss, T. Sunner, A. Huggenberger, D. Wiener, S. Reitzenstein, M. Kamp, S. Höfling and A. Forchel *APL* 92, 183101 (2008)

## **Primary Components of the Physical Layer**



Proposal: PRL 99, 040501(2007), PRB 84, 235307(2011) Electron spin: Nature 456, 218(2008), Nature Physics 4, 780(2008), Nature Photonics 4, 367(2010) Hole spin: Nature physics 7, 872(2011)

#### Parameters for Layer 1: Quantum Operation

Operation	Mechanism	Duration	Notes
Spin phase precession $(\hat{Z}$ -axis)	Magnetic field splitting of spin energy levels	$T_{\rm Larmor} = 40 \ {\rm ps}$	Inhomogeneous nuclear en- vironment causes spectral broadening in Larmor fre- quency, which is the source of $T_2^*$ processes.
Spin state rotation pulse	Stimulated Raman transition with broadband optical pulse	$ au_{ m pulse} = 4   m ps$	Red-detuned from spin ground state-trion transitions.
Entangling Operation	Nonlinear phase shift of spin states via coupling to a common cavity mode	$\tau_{\rm entangle} = 32 \ {\rm ns}$	CW laser signal modulated by an electro-optic modu- lator (EOM).
QND Measurement	Dispersive phase-shift of light reflected from planar cavity	$\tau_{\rm QND} = 1 \text{ ns}$	CW laser signal modulated by an EOM.

# **Virtual Layer**

#### > Cause destructive interference of systematic errors



BBI compensation sequence to correct gate errors, such as laser intensity fluctuations

• Wimperis, J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B 109, 221 (1994)

## **Virtual Layer**



A special dynamical decoupling sequence for QuDOS, known as 8H since it requires eight Hadamard pulses. TL is the Larmor period determined by the external magnetic eld. (a) Timing specication for the 8H sequence, where is an arbitrary time. Each of the pulse pairs enacts a -rotation around the X-axis of the virtual qubit Bloch sphere. For 8H to work eciently, T2. (b) Four 8H sequences in a row interleaved with arbitrary gates formed from three Hadamard pulses (orange). The overall sequence forms a virtual gate by way of a BB1 compensation sequence.



Simulation of the decoupling eectiveness of the 8H sequence compared to CP and UDD (each using 4 X gates) in the presence of dephasing noise and control errors. Here, "pulse error" is a systematic, relative deviation in the energy of every pulse. In all cases, two Hadamard pulses are combined to produce an approximate X gate. The vertical axis is indelity after evolution of the sequence in Fig. (a) with  $\tau = 1$  ns; here indelity is 1 - F = 1 - X II, where X II is the identity-to-identity matrix element in process tomography for the decoupling gate sequence with random noise. Since we aim to execute virtual gates with  $1-F < 10^{-3}$ , laser pulse errors must be less than 1% in order for the virtual qubit memory error rate to be adequately low.

#### Optical Spin Echo Experiment with a Single Spin in Planar Microcavity

D. Press et al., Nature Photonics 4, 367 (2010)



# **Quantum Error Correction Layer**



## **Topological Surface Code**

Two advantages:

- High threshold for gate errors  $~\leq~$  1 %
- Requires only nearest-neighbor interaction



A lattice refresh cycle of the surface code can be performed in parallel across the entire 2D array of qubits.

## **Separation in Time Scales**

# Operation times increase by orders of magnitude from Physical to Logical layer



#### Primary Control Cycle of the Surface Code Quantum Computer - Pipelining -



N. Cody Jones et al., arXiv:1010.5022 [quant-ph]

#### Resource and Operation Time of Surface Code Architecture

#### Shor's factoring algorithm for 2048-bit integer

Parameter	Symbol	Value
Threshold error per virtual gate	$\epsilon_{thresh}$	$7.5  imes 10^{-3}$
Error per virtual gate	$\epsilon_V$	$1 \times 10^{-3}$
Number of logical gates	K	$9 \times 10^{12}$
Number of logical qubits	Q	12288
Error per logical gate	$\epsilon_L$	$9 \times 10^{-20}$
Surface code distance	d	53
Virtual qubits per logical qubit		19600

# of logical qubits Q ~ 6N

Success probability of quantum algorithm





Operation	Label	Composition	Max
			Duration
Lattice Refresh	LatticeRefresh	$2 \times (\texttt{IZ} \cdot 4 \times \texttt{CNOT} \cdot \texttt{MZ} \cdot \texttt{IX} \cdot$	$1.61 \ \mu s$
with Alternating		$4 \times \text{CNOT} \cdot \text{MX})$	
Phase Masks			
Defect Braiding	DefectBraid	$30 \times \texttt{LatticeRefresh}$	$48.4 \ \mu s$
Logical CNOT	LogicalCNOT	$3 \times \texttt{DefectBraid}$	145 $\mu s$
State Distillation	StateDistill	$5 \times \texttt{DefectBraid}$	$242 \ \mu s$
Logical Toffoli	LogicalToffoli	14  imes DefectBraid	$678 \ \mu s$
Gate			

code distance 53 total number of physical qubits

2 x 10<sup>8</sup> (minimum)

```
~ 10<sup>9</sup> (sufficient)
```



Total computational time ~10 days

#### **Circuit Depth Shor's Algorithm**



- Algorithm stalls when distillation is not fast enough
- Require ~90% of QC devoted to distillation



