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ABSTRACT
This paper introduces a fundamentally new method of finding the conditions for the superactivation of the zero-error capacity of quantum channels. The zero-error capacity of the quantum channel describes the amount of information which can be transmitted perfectly through a noisy quantum channel. The superactivation of the zero-error capacity of quantum channels makes it possible to use two quantum channels, each with zero zero-error capacity, with a positive joint zero-error capacity. Currently we have no theoretical background for describing all possible combinations of superactive quantum channels, hence there should be many other possible combinations. We give an algorithmic solution to the problem. To analyze the superactivation of the zero-error capacity, we introduce a new geometrical representation, called the quantum superball. Our method can be the first efficient algorithmic solution to discover the still unknown combinations to determine the superactivation of the zero-error capacity of quantum channels, without the extremely high computational costs.
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1 Introduction

The zero-error capacity of the quantum channel measures the amount of information which can be transmitted through a noisy quantum channel with a zero probability of error. The superactivation of quantum channels may be the starting-point of a large-scale revolution in quantum information theory and in the communication of future quantum networks [1], [8]. The zero-error capacity of the quantum channel can be a very important measure where perfect communication is required or the resources for communication are very limited. This capacity could have deep relevance in secure quantum communication, or in future quantum communication networks, where the quantum communication links can become permanently unavailable. The zero-error capacity cannot be calculated in an easy way such as in the case of classical, “non zero-error” capacity [9], [48], [49]. The computation of zero-error capacity, for both the quantum and the classical case, is an extremely difficult computational problem [9].

This paper studies the superactivation property of the zero-error capacity of quantum channels, using very efficient informational geometric algorithmical tools. Since the revolutionary properties of superactivation of quantum channel capacities have been reported on, many new quantum informational results have been developed [1]–[3]. The superactivation of zero-error capacity implies the fact that a possible combination of quantum channels with zero zero-error capacity exists, where individually totally useless channels can activate each other, and their joint zero-error capacity will be greater than zero [2], [3].

The number of efficient approximation algorithms for quantum informational distances is very small because of the special properties of quantum informational generator functions and of asymmetric quantum informational distances. If we wish to analyze the properties of quantum channels using today’s classical computer architectures, an extremely efficient algorithm is needed. Our method gives an algorithmic solution to
the superactivation problem of the zero-error capacity of quantum channels. Recently, Duan and Cubitt et al. have found only one possible combination for superactivation of the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels, and they have opened the debate on the existence of other possible channel combinations [2]–[6]. In this paper, we show that the currently known theoretical results can be verified in practice with our method, and our framework can be extended to discover other possible channels.

As has been shown by Medeiros et al. [7], [8] there are many unanswered questions remaining on the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels. Moreover, the computation of the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels is an extremely difficult and hard computational problem, as has been stated by Beigi et al. [9]. Currently we have no theoretical results for describing all possible combinations of superactive zero-capacity quantum channels, hence there should be many other possible combinations. As we will show, the result of Duan and Cubitt et al. [2], [3] is only one possible solution to superactivation of zero-error capacity and many other possible solutions can be discovered by our algorithmic solution. Our method provides a fundamentally new and efficient algorithmic solution for discovering all possible superactive quantum channels.

1.1 Open questions on quantum capacities

The problem of superactivation of zero-error capacity can be discussed as part of a larger problem set — the problem of quantum channel additivity. The additivity problem is considered to be an important problem. By solving the additivity problem we can answer the question, is the zero-error capacity of a quantum communication channel affected by being restricted to non-entangled separable states in different quantum channel models? The quantum relative entropy function based on the interpretation of quantum channel capacity has been theoretically presented by Holevo-Schumacher-Westmoreland [16], [17], later it has been studied by Cortese [10]. The capacity of a quantum channel has also been studied by Shor, who proved the equivalence of the additivity of quantum channel capacity and the additivity of minimum entropy output [39].

At present, conjectures connected to quantum channel additivity are still not solved, some have only been confirmed for some classes of quantum channel [33]. Different types of quantum channel have been studied by Brandao and Horodecki [34], King [35], Fukuda, King and Moser [36], Datta, Holevo and Suhov [37], Matsumoto and Yura [38], Wolf and Eisert [40], Ruskai, Szarek and Werner [12] and Schumacher and Westmoreland [16], [17]. Recently, the additivity property of a quantum channel has been studied by Smith [1], Hastings [33], and Brandao and Horodecki [34]. As shown in [33], the most basic questions on the classical capacity of a quantum channel still remain open. Open questions related to the superactivation of quantum channel capacities [1] can be discussed based on our novel and efficient informational geometric approach.

2 The zero-error capacity of quantum channels

Zero-error capacity has deep relevance in classical and quantum communication systems [47]–[49]. The zero-error capacity stands a very strong requirement in comparison to the standard capacity, since in the case of zero-error communication the error probability of the communication has to be zero, hence the transmission of information has to be perfect and no errors are allowed [8], [9].

As has been shown, there are many open questions and only one possible combination has been found to realize the superactivation of the zero-error capacity of quantum channels [3]. The zero-error capacity of the quantum channels can be a very important issue in some critical fields of future quantum communication networks, in which the errors cannot be tolerated. The zero-error capacity of the quantum channels is a very valuable field of quantum information processing, however the computation of this capacity is an extremely difficult problem in practice, both in classical system [48], [49], and both in a quantum system, as it has been shown by Beigi and Shor [9]. In the case of quantum channels the inputs can be product states and entangled states, which causes other difficulties in the computation of zero-error capacity. As also stated by Duan [2], the zero-error capacity of a quantum channel can be approached from the viewpoint of classical and quantum capacities.

The general view of the superactivation of quantum channels is illustrated in Fig. 1. Two quantum channels each with zero zero-error capacity, can be used to transmit classical information through a very noisy quantum communication channel. The superactivation of zero-error capacity cannot be imagined for classical systems, and in the near future these results can revolutionize the communications over long-distance telecommunication, and it can help to enhance the security of quantum communication.

In Duan’s work the superactive quantum channels could be superactivated after two uses [2]. Later, this result was improved by Cubitt et al. [3], since his combination required only a single use of the channel pair to realize the superactivation of zero-error capacities. On the other hand, the entanglement among the input states is a required condition to realize the superactiva-
Fig. 1 Combination of quantum channels, with individual zero zero-error capacity, to realize perfect information transmission.

The superactivation problem has been also studied by Smith [1], however in his work the superactivation of the quantum capacity of zero-capacity quantum channels has been analyzed instead of the zero-error capacity. In this paper we focus on the superactivation of asymptotic classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels which is currently a very important topic in quantum information theory, with still many open questions.

We give an extremely efficient algorithmical solution to discover the still undiscovered possible channel combinations for realize the superactivation of the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels. Our work can be very valuable tools to a better understand of the properties of the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels, and it can help to resolve the extremely difficult computational tasks regarding to the computing of zero-error capacity of quantum channels [9].

2.1 Superactivation in the future’s quantum communications networks

In many cases the error in the communication cannot be tolerated and the channel has to be totally error-free. The zero-error information theory describes the maximum achievable rates and capacities, which are achieved in the case of a zero-error probability communication channel. The zero-error capacity was defined in Shannon’s paper [47], later Bollobas [48] and Korner and Orlitsky [49] analyzed the mathematical and informational theoretic properties of zero-error capacity. In the case of future quantum communication networks, many new phenomena can be exploited, which were not available in the case of a classical communication networks. To use two zero-error capacity quantum links, we have to characterize only the input quantum states and the maps of the quantum channels, and after that has been done the two damaged or very noisy quantum links can be used to transmit information perfectly.

In future quantum communication networks, superactivation can be the ultimate weapon in situations where a quantum channel becomes totally or temporally unavailable due to damage to a link or a network communication problem, or where quantum communication channels are extremely noisy. With the help of the superactivation of zero-error capacity of quantum channels, the perfect information transmission can be realized in a very noisy network environment or in a damaged link. Moreover, it can be used to enhance the security of quantum communication over a very noisy
quantum environment, hence the application of superactivation in future quantum communication networks is very diverse.

In Fig. 2, we show possible ways of applying superactivation in future quantum communication networks. Since the superactivation makes it possible to transmit information through a damaged quantum channel it can be used to avoid the temporarily unavailable status of network services.

Superactivation can be applied to an optical-fiber based optical quantum communication network [50], or in a free-space environment, both in a dense metropolitan area or over very long distances to improve the quality of information transmission. Our method can be a very valuable tool to realize noiseless quantum communication over a noisy communication environment. Using the superactivation of quantum channels, the effectiveness of the communication techniques in the future’s quantum networks — in long-distances, or in a noisy metropolitan area — can be increased, and the currently used communication techniques can be revolutionized.

In addition to aiding metropolitan and long-distance quantum communication, the perfect information transmission through a quantum channel can have deep relevance both in military and secret government applications, or other cases where extremely high security is required.

### 2.2 Theoretical results on the superactivation of zero-error capacity

Cubitt et al. investigated an algebraic approach to study the superactivation property of the zero-error capacity, and as it has been found, there is also exists a stronger superactivation for the asymptotic zero-error capacity [3]. In their work, both quantum channels can have zero zero-error capacity, while in the case of Duan’s method one of the channels has to be equipped with a greater than zero zero-error capacity, otherwise the superactivation would not have worked. Duan has found only one class of quantum channels with zero zero-error capacity for which the entangled input states can superactivate their capacities. The usage of entanglement also implies the fact that superactivation is not possible in the case of classical communication channels. Duan has also stated, that besides the fact that the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels is superactive, the quantum zero-error capacity of a quantum channel is also superactive, hence there is an overlapping with the results of Smith [1]. We note, he has studied the quantum capacity of quantum channels instead of the quantum zero-error capacity.

Our method can be used to verify both the results of Duan and, the stronger conditions of Cubitt et al. In future work we would like to extend our method to analyze the superactivation of the asymptotic quantum capacity of zero-capacity quantum channels, which is still an open problem in quantum information theory [1].
2.3 Geometrical analysis of zero-error capacity

This paper introduces a completely revolutionary algorithmical approach to find the still undiscovered combinations for realizing superactivation of the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels. The proposed geometrical method is based on the Holevo-Schumacher-Westmoreland (HSW) channel capacity and its geometrical interpretation [10], [16]–[18]. In our work, we apply computational geometry in quantum space, between pure and mixed quantum states. Using extremely fast computational geometric methods the superactivation of asymptotic zero-error capacity quantum channels can be efficiently analyzed with the help of currently available classical computational architectures.

Computational Geometry was originally focused on the construction of efficient algorithms and provides a very valuable and efficient tool for computing hard tasks. In many cases, traditional linear programming methods are not very efficient. In this paper, advanced geometric methods play a fundamental role in the analysis of the superactivation of zero-error capacity quantum channels. To analyze a quantum channel for a large number of input quantum states with classical computer architectures, very fast and efficient algorithms are required [44]–[46].

In Fig. 3, we illustrate the logical structure of the analysis and the cooperation of classical and quantum systems. We would like to analyze the properties of quantum channels using current classical computer architectures — since, currently we have no quantum computers — and the most efficient currently available algorithms. To this day, the most efficient classical algorithms for this purpose are computational geometric methods. We use these classical computational geometric tools to discover the still unknown "superactive" zero-error capacity quantum channels.

In this paper, we will apply the methods of computational geometry to analyze the superactivation of zero-error capacity quantum channels, however we will use quantum information as a distance measure instead of classical geometric distances. Unlike ordinary geometric distances, the quantum informational distance is not a metric and is not symmetric, hence this pseudo-distance features as a measure of informational distance. We combine the models of information geometry and the fast methods of computational geometry, as depicted in Fig. 4.

At present, computational geometry algorithms are an active, widely used and integrated research field. Many difficult problems can be extended to computational geometric methods, however these geometric problems require well-designed and efficient algorithms [10], [14], [18]. Recently, the possibilities of the application of computational geometric methods in quantum space have been studied by Kato et al. [26] and Nielsen et al. [30]. Nielsen has shown very useful and efficient geometrical approaches to compute the Voronoi diagrams between the quantum states [28], [29], [31], [32].

2.4 Problem of superactivation

To this day, strict additivity of quantum channel capacity has been conjectured, but not proven. The property is known to hold for some special cases, but the generalized rule is still unknown. As depicted in Fig. 5, the problem of superactivation of zero-error capacity quantum channels can be viewed as a smaller subset of a larger problem set involving the additivity of quantum channels and the superactivation of zero-capacity quantum channels.

We show that a modified version of Chen’s weak coreset method [20] and Ackermann’s modified clustering algorithm [19] can be applied to quantum informational distances. Then the superactivation property of different quantum channel models with various channel
probabilities can be analyzed extremely fast in our fundamentally new framework. Our iterations are based on the computed radius of a superball, the iterations are made on the channel input states, channel models, and channel parameters. The output of the algorithm is the radius of the quantum informational superball.

In our model, the results of Duan and Cubitt et al. on superactivation of zero-capacity channels is only one possible solution to the problem of searching for “superactive” quantum channels. Our method can be extended to every possible quantum channel model and channel parameter. Using the coreset method [20], we can analyze the capacities of quantum channels, for an extremely large input set and for all possible channel models with extremely high efficiency. We show that the modified version of Chen’s algorithm can be applied in quantum space to quantum informational distances and the performance of the iteration can be dramatically accelerated by the introduction of weak coresets and μ-similar quantum informational distances. We use the modified version of Ackermann’s clustering algorithm [19] for weak coresets, hence the k-median problem and the radius of the super quantum informational ball can be computed very fast with respect to quantum informational distances.

The presented algorithm has less complexity than other existing coreset and approximation algorithms, which can also be applied in quantum space. In classical systems, the Kullback-Leibler distance is used as a distance metric in many fields, such as statistics, mathematics, speech recognition and informational databases. The Kullback-Leibler distance is a more useful distance metric in these cases than the ordinary Euclidean distance, since we have to compare probability distributions, speech samples, images, time series or other matrices, etc. [20].

2.5 Median quantum states in quantum space

We will use the well-known coreset method in quantum space between quantum states and we will show that these methods can be implemented very efficiently to analyze the properties of quantum channels. The coreset approach can be extended to the k-median clustering of channel output states. The construction of coresets has been studied in classical systems in [15], [22], [23]. In classical computational geometry, coreset algorithms are well-known and widely applied methods. The coreset method generates a small weighted set from the original dataset, such that the smaller set has the same properties as the original, larger set. The coreset method can be applied to analyze the properties of quantum channels for a large set of output channel states, since coreset methods have the same cost for clustering as the original larger set, with an approximation error ε. Hence, the structural properties of quantum channels can be approximated well by a much smaller set, called the coreset. Coreset methods were studied by Har-Peled and Kushal [23] who have found that the size of the coreset is independent of the size of the input set, however it still has an exponential dependence on the dimension parameter d. Later, Chen has shown [20] that the size of the coreset can be a linear function of d, so it can be applied to higher dimensions with great efficiency. After Chen’s work, Feldman [25] introduced the definition of weak coresets, which differs a little from the coreset method as defined originally. The properties of Voronoi diagrams [13] in quantum space have been studied by Kato et al. [26] and Nielsen et al. [24], [29], [30] however the problem of clustering was not analyzed in their work. The coreset method for different distances has been studied in the literature. Euclidean methods were studied in [21], [22] and a non-Euclidean metric by Banerjee [27], Nielsen [24] and Ackermann [19].

In our paper, we will define a coreset approach between quantum states in quantum space to analyze the channel output states in terms of a smaller set generated by the coreset method. The input and the output of the coreset algorithm are illustrated in Fig. 6.

The output of the joint measurement of two channels is the input of the proposed geometrical approach. The geometrical method computes the joint capacity, based on the clustering of channel output quantum states and a convex hull calculation. The output of the constructed method is the radius of the smallest quantum informational superball.
3 Communication over quantum channels

Before we start to discuss the properties of zero-error capacity of quantum channels, in this section we give a brief overview on the general properties of communication over such channels.

3.1 The general classical communication model

In the classical communication model, the sender and receiver can be modeled by random variables \( X = \{ p_i = P(x_i) \}, i = 1, \ldots, N \) and \( Y = \{ p_i = P(y_i) \}, i = 1, \ldots, N \). In classical systems, the Shannon entropy of the discrete random variable \( X \) is denoted by \( H(X) \) and can be defined as:

\[
H(X) = - \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \log(p_i).
\]

For conditional random variables, the probability of random variable \( X \) given \( Y \) is denoted by \( p(x|y) \). The noise in the channel increases the uncertainty in \( X \), given Bob’s output \( Y \). The informational theoretic noise of the channel increases the conditional Shannon entropy \( H(X|Y) \), defined as:

\[
H(X|Y) = \sum_{i,j} p(x_i, y_j) \log p(x_i|y_j),
\]

thus the radius of the smallest enclosing quantum informational ball will decrease for fixed \( H(X) \) [11].

The general classical informational theoretic model for a noisy quantum channel is illustrated in Fig. 7. Our geometrical analysis is focused on the mixed quantum state, received by Bob. Alice’s pure state is denoted by \( \rho_A \), the noise is modeled by an affine map \( N \) and Bob’s mixed input state is denoted by \( N(\rho_A) = \sigma_B \). For random variables \( X \) and \( Y \), \( H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X) \), where \( H(X), H(X,Y) \) and \( H(Y|X) \) are defined by probability distributions. We measure in a geometrical representation the information which can be transmitted in the presence of noise on the quantum channel.

In the classical communication model, we seek to maximize \( H(X) \) and minimize \( H(X|Y) \) in order to maximize the radius of the smallest enclosing ball of Bob, since the radius can be computed as:

\[
r^* = \max_{\text{all possible } x_i} H(X) - H(X|Y).
\]

To compute the radius \( r^* \) of the smallest informational ball of quantum states and the entropies between mixed quantum states, instead of the classical Shannon entropy, we use the Holevo-Schumacher-Westmoreland (HSW) channel capacity [16], [17].

Geometrically, the presence of noise on the quantum channel causes a detectable mapping to change from a noiseless one-to-one relationship to a stochastic map. In the classical model of a quantum channel, the input is in a pure state denoted by \( |\psi\rangle \) and a measurement is made at the end of the quantum channel, which extracts the classical information from the sent quantum state. The image of the quantum channel’s linear transform \( N \) is an ellipsoid. To preserve the condition for a density matrix \( \rho \), the noise on the quantum channel \( N \) must be trace-preserving, i.e. \( TrN(\rho) = Tr(\rho) \) and it must be completely positive, i.e. for any identity map \( I \), the map \( N \otimes I \) maps a semi-positive Hermitian matrix to a semi-positive Hermitian matrix. A quantum channel \( N \) can be described by an affine map, which maps quantum states to other quantum states. Geometrically, the effect of the transformation \( N \) maps the Bloch ball to a deformed ball contained inside the Bloch ball.

3.2 Holevo-Schumacher-Westmoreland channel capacity

In our work, we use the Holevo-Schumacher-Westmoreland (HSW) channel capacity [16], [17] to analyze the superactivation property of quantum channels. According to the HSW theorem, the single use capacity \( C^{(1)}(N) \) of a quantum channel \( N \), can be defined as follows [16], [17]:

\[
C^{(1)}(N) = \max_{\text{all possible } p_i} \max_{\rho_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i N(\rho_i).
\]

Fig. 6 The superactivation of the zero-error capacity is analyzed in terms of the radius of the quantum superball, which is computed by our geometrical approach.

Fig. 7 The classical communication model.
where $X_{\text{output}}$ is the Holevo quantity of the output, $S(\rho) = -Tr(\rho \log \rho)$ is the von Neumann entropy, and $N(\rho)$ represents the output density matrix obtained from the quantum channel input density matrix $\rho$ [16]. Using the result of the HSW theorem [16], we will refer to the single use channel capacity as the radius of the smallest enclosing ball as follows:

$$r^* = C^{(1)}(N) = \max_{\{p, \rho_k\}} X_{\text{output}}.$$  (3)

In this paper, we use the geometrical interpretation of HSW channel capacity, using quantum relative entropy as a distance measure function. The HSW channel capacity can be defined by using the quantum relative entropy function as a distance measure. We will show that the superactivation of zero-error capacity quantum channels can be analyzed in a geometrical representation, which uses the quantum relative entropy-based HSW channel capacity.

3.2.1 Geometrical interpretation of HSW channel capacity

We use the results of Schumacher and Westmoreland to describe geometrically the channel capacity of quantum channels in terms of quantum relative entropy. The authors of [16] have shown that the capacity of a quantum channel can be measured geometrically, using quantum relative entropy function as a distance measure. Schumacher and Westmoreland have shown that the channel capacity of every optimal output state $\rho_k$ can be expressed as [16]

$$C^{(1)}(N) = D(\rho_k || \sigma),$$  (4)

where $\sigma = \sum p_k \rho_k$ is the optimal average output state and the relative entropy function of two density matrices can be defined as

$$D(\rho || \sigma) = Tr[\rho \log \rho] - Tr[\rho \log (\sigma)].$$  (5)

In this definition, Tr is the trace operator. In conclusion, for non-optimal output states $\delta$ and optimal average output state $\sigma = \sum p_k \rho_k$, we have $C^{(1)}(N) = D(\delta || \sigma) \leq D(\rho || \sigma)$. Moreover, in [16], Schumacher and Westmoreland have also shown that there exists at least one optimal output state $\{p_k, \rho_k\}$ which achieves the optimal capacity $C^{(1)}(N) = D(\rho || \sigma)$. The geometrical interpretation of quantum channel capacity was introduced in [16], using the quantum relative entropy function as a distance measure as follows:

$$C^{(1)}(N) = r^* = \min_{\{\rho\}} \max_{\{\sigma\}} D(N(\rho)||N(\sigma)).$$  (6)

If we define the convex hull of possible channel output states for channel $N$ as $\mathcal{A}$ and the convex hull of the set of states as $\mathcal{B}$, then for $A \in \rho$ and $B \in \sigma$:

$$C^{(1)}(N) = r^* = \min_{\{\rho\}} \max_{\{\sigma\}} D(\rho || \sigma).$$  (7)

Schumacher and Westmoreland have also proven in [16], that there exists an optimum output state $\{p_k, \rho_k\}$ for every $\sigma$ that satisfies the maximization, such that

$$\sigma = \sum p_k \rho_k.$$  (8)

They have also shown that the average output state $\sigma = \sum p_k \rho_k$ which maximizes the capacity for any optimal set of output states $\rho = \sum \{p_k, \rho_k\}$ is unique [10], [16]. We analyze the superactivation of the quantum channel by clustering and convex hull calculations based on quantum relative entropy. If we denote the optimal output states by $N(\phi_k) = \{p_k, \rho_k\}$ which achieve the capacity $C^{(1)}(N)$ of channel $N$ and $\sigma = \sum p_k \rho_k$, then the single use quantum channel capacity can be derived in terms of the quantum relative entropy in the following way [16], [17]:

$$\sum_k p_k D(\rho_k || \sigma) = \sum_k (p_k Tr[\rho_k \log(\rho_k)] - p_k Tr[\rho_k \log(\sigma)])$$

$$= \sum_k (p_k Tr[\rho_k \log(\rho_k)]) - Tr \left[ \sum_k (p_k \rho_k \log(\sigma)) \right]$$

$$= \sum_k (p_k Tr[\rho_k \log(\rho_k)]) - Tr[\sigma \log(\sigma)]$$

$$= S(\sigma) - \sum_k p_k S(\rho_k) = X. $$  (8)

It can therefore be concluded that the Holevo quantity $X$ can be expressed in terms of the quantum relative entropy and the $C^{(1)}(N)$ single use HSW channel capacity as [16]

$$C^{(1)}(N) = \max\{\{p, \phi\} \mid \sum_k p_k D(N(\psi_k)||N(\phi)),$$  (9)

where $\psi_k$ denotes the input quantum states of channel $N$ and $\phi = \sum_k p_k \phi_k$. The geometric interpretation of the HSW channel capacity has been studied by Cortese [10], who also extended these results to the general qudit channels.

3.3 Asymptotic HSW channel capacity and quantum superball representation

Using the resulting quantum relative entropy function [16] and the HSW-theorem, the $C(N)$ asymptotic classical capacity of the quantum channel can be expressed with the help of the radii of the smallest quantum informational balls as follows:

$$r_{\text{super}}^*(N) = C(N) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} C^{(1)}(N^\otimes n).$$
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Fig. 8 The superactivation of the zero-error capacity is analyzed by the quantum informational superball.

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^* \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \max_{p_1, \ldots, p_n} (N_{AB})^{\otimes n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \left( \min_{\sigma_{AB}} \max_{t} D(\rho_{AB}^k || \sigma_{AB}) \right), \quad (10)
\]

where \( r_i^* \) is the single use capacity of the \( i \)-th use of quantum channel \( \mathcal{N} \), \( \rho_{AB}^k \) is the optimal output channel state, and \( \sigma^{AB} \) is the average state. We analyze the superactivation property of the quantum channel, using the \textit{mini-max} criterion for states \( \rho_{AB}^k \) and \( \sigma^{AB} \). The radius \( r_{super}^* \) of the superball is equal to the asymptotic classical capacity.

In the superactivation problem, we have to use different quantum channel models [1]. For two different quantum channels \( N_1^{\otimes n} \) and \( N_2^{\otimes n} \), the asymptotic HSW channel capacity \( C(N_1 \otimes N_2) \) is equal to the sum of the radii \( r_{super}^*(N_1) \) and \( r_{super}^*(N_2) \) of the quantum informational superballs, whose radii form a new quantum superball with radius

\[
r_{super}^*(N_1 \otimes N_2) = C(N_1 \otimes N_2) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} C^{(1)}((N_1 \otimes N_2)^{\otimes n}) = r_{super}^*(N_1) + r_{super}^*(N_2). \quad (11)
\]

In Fig. 8, we illustrate the superball representation for the analysis of two quantum channels, however it naturally can be extended to \( n \) different quantum channel models.

The quantum informational ball has a distorted geometrical structure, and the elements of classical Euclidean geometry, such as the classical Euclidean distance functions, cannot be used. It makes the computation of the quantum ball a much harder computational task, but on the other hand, efficient geometrical functions can be constructed for this purpose.

In Fig. 9, we summarize our geometrical iteration process. The inputs of the rounded box are the channel output states of two quantum channels \( N_1^{\otimes n} \) and \( N_2^{\otimes n} \). The joint channel construction is denoted by \( (N_1 + N_2)^{\otimes n} \), the output of the rounded box is the radius \( r_{super}^*(N_1 \otimes N_2) \) of the quantum informational superball.

The output of the algorithm is the quantum informational superball, which ball is computed by efficient informational geometrical approaches. The quantum superball can be obtained after the joint measurement of the quantum channels. The superactivation property is analyzed by the length of the radius of the quantum superball.

The recursive iterations are made on the following parameters: the maps of the quantum channels, the probabilities of the channel combinations and the type of the input states. According to the length of the superball radius, the iteration stops if the conditions for...
superactivation hold.

The problem of clustering in quantum space, using the quantum informational distance as a distance function, is a completely new area in quantum information theory.

4 Geometrical interpretation of quantum informational distance

The quantum entropy $S(\rho)$ is equal to the Shannon entropy for the eigenvalue distribution $S(\rho) = S(\lambda) = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \log \lambda_i$, where $d$ is the level of the quantum system. The relative entropy in classical systems is a measure that quantifies how close a probability distribution $p$ is to a model or candidate probability distribution $q$ [24]. For $p$ and $q$ probability distributions, the relative entropy is given by $D(p\|q) = \sum_i p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$, while the relative entropy between quantum states is measured by

$$D(\rho(\sigma) = Tr[p(\log \rho - \log \sigma)].$$

(12)

The quantum informational distance has some distance-like properties, however it is not commutative [24], thus $D(\rho(\sigma) \neq D(\sigma|\rho)$, and $D(\rho|\sigma) \geq 0$ if $\rho \neq \sigma$, and $D(\rho|\sigma) = 0$ if $\rho = \sigma$. As it has been stated by Cortese [10], the quantum relative entropy for general quantum state $\rho = (x, y, z)$ and mixed state $\sigma = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$, with radii $r_{\rho} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ and $r_{\sigma} = \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \hat{y}^2 + \hat{z}^2}$ is given by

$$D(\rho|\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{4}(1 - r_{\rho})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{4}(1 + r_{\rho})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{4}(1 - r_{\sigma})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{4}(1 + r_{\sigma})^2,$$

(13)

where $\langle \rho, \sigma \rangle = (\hat{x} + \hat{y} + \hat{z})$. For a maximally mixed state $\sigma = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $r_{\sigma} = 0$, the quantum relative entropy can be expressed as [10]:

$$D(\rho|\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{4}(1 - r_{\rho})^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_{\rho} \log \frac{(1 + r_{\rho})}{(1 - r_{\rho})} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{4},$$

(14)

The relative entropy of quantum states can be described by a strictly convex and differentiable generator function $F$:

$$F(\rho) = -S(\rho) = Tr(\rho \log \rho),$$

(15)

where $S$ is the negative entropy of quantum states. The quantum relative entropy $D(\rho|\sigma)$ for density matrices $\rho$ and $\sigma$ is given by generator function $F$ in the following way [24]:

$$D(\rho|\sigma) = F(\rho) - F(\sigma) - \langle \rho - \sigma, \nabla F(\sigma) \rangle,$$

(16)

where $\langle \rho, \sigma \rangle = Tr(\rho \sigma^{*})$ is the inner product of quantum states and $\nabla F(\cdot)$ is the gradient [24], [29], [30].

In Fig. 10, we have depicted the quantum informational distance, $D(\rho|\sigma)$, as the vertical distance between the generator function $F$ and $H(\sigma)$, the hyperplane tangent to $F$ at $\sigma$. The point of intersection of quantum state $\rho$ on $H(\sigma)$ is denoted by $H_{r}(\rho)$ [24], [28], [29].

As it has been shown by Nielsen et al. [24], [28], for the quantum informational distance function, the generator function is the negative von Neumann entropy function $-S$,

$$F(\rho) = -S(\rho) = Tr(\rho \log \rho),$$

(17)

where $F : S(\mathbb{C}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The quantum informational distance function $D_{q}(\rho|\sigma)$ with generator function $F(\rho) = -S(\rho)$ is illustrated in Fig. 11 [24].
Information geometric superactivation of classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels

In Fig. 11, we compare the smallest quantum informational ball and the ordinary Euclidean ball.

We conclude that the quantum states $\rho_1, \rho_2$ and $\rho_3$ which determine the smallest enclosing ball in a Euclidean geometry differ from the states of the quantum informational ball. This fact also reveals the difference between the mathematical and geometrical background of the two approaches [28].

4.1 Computation of quantum delaunay triangulation on bloch sphere

We would like to compute the information-theoretical radius $r^*$ of the smallest enclosing ball of the channel output quantum states which describes the maximal capacity of the channel, thus we must first seek the center $c^*$ of the set of quantum states $\mathcal{S}$. The set $\mathcal{S}$ of quantum states is denoted by $\mathcal{S} = \{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^n$. The distance $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ between any two quantum states of $\mathcal{S}$ is measured by the quantum relative entropy, thus a minimax mathematical optimization is applied to the quantum relative entropy-based distances to find the center $c$ of the set $\mathcal{S}$. We denote the quantum relative entropy from $c$ to the furthest point of $\mathcal{S}$ by $d(c, \mathcal{S}) = \max_i d(c, \rho_i)$. Using a minimax optimization, we can minimize the maximal quantum relative entropy from $c$ to the furthest point of $\mathcal{S}$ by $c^* = \arg\min_c d(c, \mathcal{S})$.

In classical computational geometry, Voronoi diagrams and Delaunay triangulations play an important role [13], [16]. A Voronoi diagram is a division of space [28]–[30]. The dual diagram for a Voronoi diagram is called a Delaunay tessellation [13], [14]. In the graph of a Delaunay triangulation, any circle is empty if it contains no vertex of $\mathcal{S}$ in its interior. If two quantum states of set $\mathcal{S}$ are denoted by $\rho$ and $\sigma$, then edge $e$ is in Del$(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if there exists an empty circle that passes through $\rho$ and $\sigma$. An edge satisfying the empty circle property is said to be Delaunay. The Delaunay triangulation is guaranteed to be a triangulation only if the vertices of $\mathcal{S}$ are in a general position, thus there are no four quantum states of $\mathcal{S}$ lying on the same circle. The circumcircle of a triangle is the unique circle that passes through all three of its vertices, and the triangle is Delaunay if and only if its circumcircle is empty. The quantum Delaunay triangulation of a set of quantum states $\mathcal{S}$, denoted by Del$(\mathcal{S})$, is the geometric dual of quantum Voronoi diagrams $\text{vol}(\mathcal{S})$.

In Fig. 14, we compare a classical Euclidean Delaunay and a quantum informational Delaunay triangulation for a set of quantum states.

The quantum Voronoi diagrams can be first-type or right-sided diagrams. Similarly, we can derive two triangulations from quantum Voronoi diagrams [24], [29], [30]. The first-type quantum informational ball circumscribing any simplex of quantum Delaunay tri-
angulation \( \text{Del}(S) \) is empty. If we choose a subset \( \Upsilon \) of at most \( d+1 \) states in \( S = \{ \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n \} \), then the convex hull of the associated quantum states \( \rho_i, i \in \Upsilon \), is a simplex of the quantum triangulation of \( S \), iff there exists an empty quantum informational ball \( B \) passing through the \( \rho_i, i \in \Upsilon \). The first-type and second-type quantum diagrams for quantum states which have non-equal radii, are different [24], [29], [30].

In Fig. 15, we compare the first-type and second-type quantum Delaunay diagrams for mixed quantum states on the Bloch sphere.

The approximated value of the radius of the superball is obtained by the radii of the smallest information balls.

4.2 Laguerre diagram for quantum states

We use the Laguerre Delaunay diagram [13], [14], [24] to compute the radius of the smallest enclosing ball. In general, the Laguerre distance for generating points \( x_i \) with weight \( r_i^2 \) in a Euclidean space is defined by

\[
d_L(\rho, x_i) = \|\rho - x_i\|^2 - r_i^2. \tag{18}
\]

The Delaunay diagram for the Laguerre distance is called the Laguerre-Delaunay diagram. As it has been shown by Nielsen et al. [24], for the Laguerre bisector of two three-dimensional Euclidean balls \( B(\rho, r_P) \) and \( B(\sigma, r_Q) \) centered at quantum states \( \rho \) and \( \sigma \), we can write the equation

\[
2\langle x - \rho, \sigma - \rho \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle - \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle + r_Q^2 - r_P^2 = 0. \tag{19}
\]

In a Euclidean space, the Laguerre distance \( d_L(\rho, x_i) \) with weight \( r_i^2 \) can be interpreted as the square of the length of the line segment starting at \( \rho \) and tangent to the circle centered at \( x_i \) with radius \( \sqrt{r_i^2} \). Thus, the circle centered at \( x_i \) with radius \( \sqrt{r_i^2} \) is the circle associated with \( x_i \) [13], [14], [24].

We show a new method for deriving the quantum relative entropy-based Delaunay tessellation on the Bloch-ball to analyze the superactivation property of the quantum channel. In our algorithm we present an effective solution to seek the center \( c \) of the set of smallest enclosing quantum information ball, using Laguerre diagrams [13], [14], [24].

Our geometrical analysis has two main steps:
1. We construct Delaunay triangulation from Laguerre diagrams on the Bloch-ball.
2. We seek the center of the smallest enclosing ball.

4.3 Quantum delaunay triangulation from laguerre diagrams

In a Euclidean space, the Laguerre distance of a point \( x \) to a Euclidean ball \( b = b(\rho, r) \) is defined as \( d_L(\rho, x) = \frac{r^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{r^2}{x^2} - 2\rho x \)
\[ \|p - x\|^2 - r^2, \text{ and for } n \text{ balls } b_i = b(\rho_i, r_i), \text{ where } i = 1, \ldots, n, \text{ the Laguerre diagram of the quantum relative entropy-based Delaunay tessellation, Euclidean geometry [24], [29], [30].} \]

The most important result of this equivalence is that we can efficiently construct a quantum relative entropy-based Delaunay triangulation on the Bloch sphere, using fast methods for constructing classical Euclidean Laguerre diagrams [14].

4.4 Center of the quantum informational ball

We use an approximation algorithm from classical computational geometry to determine the smallest enclosing ball of balls using core-sets. The core-sets have an important role in our calculation and approximate method.

We apply the approximation algorithm presented by Badoui et al. [15], however in our algorithm the distances between quantum states are measured by quantum relative entropy. The \( E \)-core set \( C \subseteq S \) that for the circumcenter \( c \) of the minimax ball [15], [22], [23], [25]

\[ d(c, S) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) r, \]

\[ \text{where } r \text{ is the radius of the smallest enclosing quantum information ball of the set of quantum states } S \text{ [15], [22]. The approximating algorithm, for a set of quantum states } S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \text{ and circumcenter } c, \text{ first finds the farthest point } s_0 \text{ of ball set } B, \text{ and moves } c \text{ towards } s_0 \text{ in } O(dn) \text{ time in every iteration step.} \]

The algorithm seeks the farthest point in the ball set \( B = \{b_1 = Ball(c_1, r_1), \ldots, b_n = Ball(c_n, r_n)\} \) by maximizing the quantum informational distance for a current circumcenter position \( c \) as \( \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} D_F(c, b_i) \). Using equation \( \max_{x \in b} D_F(c, x_i) = D_F(c, S_i) + r, \text{ we get} \)

\[ \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} D_F(c, b_i) = \max_{x \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} (D_F(c, S_i) + r). \]

In Fig. 17, we illustrate the smallest enclosing ball of balls in the quantum space.

We denote the set of \( n \) \( d \)-dimensional balls by \( B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}, \text{ where } b_i = Ball(S_i, r_i) \text{, } S_i \text{ is the center of ball } b_i \text{ and } r_i \text{ is the radius of the } i\text{-th ball. The smallest enclosing ball of set } B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\} \text{ is the unique ball } b^* = Ball(c^*, r^*) \text{ with minimum radius } r^* \text{ and center } c^* \text{ [23], [25].} \text{ The algorithm does } 1 \text{ iterations to ensure an } (1 + \varepsilon) \text{ approximation, thus the overall cost of the algorithm is } O\left(\frac{dn}{\varepsilon^2}\right) [15]. \text{ The smallest enclosing ball of ball set } B \text{ can be written as} \]

\[ \min_F F_d(c), \]

where \( F_d(X) = d(X, B) = \max_{x \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} d(X, B_i) \) and the distance function \( d(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ measures the relative entropy between quantum states [15], [22], [43].} \text{ The minimum ball of the set of balls is unique, thus the circumcenter } c^* \text{ of the set of quantum states is } c^* = \arg \min_c F_d(c). \text{ The main steps of our algorithm can be summarized} \]
Algorithm 1.

1. Select a random center \( c_1 \) from the set of quantum states \( S \)
   
   \[
   c_1 = S_1
   \]
   
   for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, \left\lceil \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1}} \right\rceil \)
   
   do
   
2. Find the farthest point \( s \) of \( S \) wrt. quantum relative entropy
   
   \[
   S \leftarrow \arg \max_{c \in S} D_F(c, s')
   \]
   
3. Update the circumcircle:
   
   \[
   c_{i+1} \leftarrow \nabla F(S) \left( i \right)
   \]
   
4. Return \( c_{i+1} \)

At the end of our algorithm, the radius \( r^* \) of the smallest enclosing ball with respect to the quantum informational distance is equal to the single use classical capacity of the quantum channel.

5 Fitting the smallest quantum informational ball

Geometrically, the smallest quantum informational ball can be computed from the intersection of contours of the quantum relative entropy ball with the ellipsoid of the channel, whose ellipsoid is generated by the map of the channel. The maximum length, radius, \( r_o \), can be determined by an iterative algorithm using the quantum relative entropy as a distance measure. The steps of the approximation of the quantum informational superball are based on the fitting mechanism of a single informational, which will be presented later in this section. In the case of the representation of our superball, the average state and the optimal output state are interpreted from the meaning of joint channel capacity, which states are available after the joint measurement. In the case of the single Bloch ball representation there is average and optimal output state which comes from a single channel measurement [10].

The computation of quantum channel capacity using geometrical approaches also has been presented by Hayashi et al. [41], [42]. Hayashi’s work is a very useful and practical tool to approach the capacity of a quantum channel based on the quantum relative entropy function, however in our work we will construct advanced algorithmic approaches to analyze the classical capacity of quantum channels.

In Fig. 18 (a), the smallest quantum informational ball with radius \( r^* = D_{\max}(r_o || r_s) \) intersects the channel ellipsoid at magnitude \( m_o \) of the Bloch vector \( r_o \). The Euclidean distance between the origin and center \( c^* \) is denoted by \( m_{o^*} \). Similarly, the Euclidean distance between the origin and state \( \rho \) is denoted by \( m_{\rho} \). In our geometrical iteration algorithm, we would like to determine the location of vector \( r_o \) inside the channel ellipsoid such that, the largest possible contour value \( D_{\max}(r_s || r_o) \) touches the channel ellipsoid surface and the remainder of the \( D_{\max} \) contour surface lies entirely outside the channel ellipsoid. The point on the channel ellipsoid surface is defined as the set of channel output \( \rho \). The vector \( r_o \) is defined in the interior of the ellipsoid, as the convex hull of the channel ellipsoid. To determine the optimal length of the radius, the algorithm moves point \( \sigma \).

As we move vector \( r_o \) from the optimum position, a larger contour corresponding to the larger value of the quantum relative entropy \( D \) will intersect the channel ellipsoid surface, thereby \( \max_{r_s} D(r_o || r_s) \) will increase. We can conclude that vector \( r_o \) should be adjusted to minimize \( \max_{r_s} D(r_o || r_s) \), as illustrated in Fig. 18 (b).

The vector \( r_o \) should be adjusted to minimize the value of \( \max_{r_s} D(r_o || r_s) \). To find the optimal value of vector \( r_o \) in our geometrical analysis, we choose a start point for vector \( r_o \) in the interior of the ellipsoid.

In Fig. 19 (a), we show the initial start point inside the channel ellipsoid chosen by the algorithm. The vector of state \( \sigma \) is denoted by \( r_{o^*} \). In the next step, the algorithm determines the set of points to the vector \( r_{o^*} \) on the ellipsoid surface most distant from \( r_o \), using the quantum relative entropy as distance measure. In Fig. 19 (b), the new state is notated by \( r_{o^*} \).

The maximum distance between the states can be expressed as \( \max_{r_o} D(r_o || r_{o^*}) \). We choose a random Bloch sphere vector from the maximal set of points according to vector \( r_{o^*} \). The selected point is denoted by \( r'_{o^*} \). The algorithm makes a step from \( r_o \) towards the surface point vector \( r'_{o^*} \) in the Bloch sphere space. In this step, the algorithm updates vector \( r_o \) to

\[
\rho' = (1 - \gamma) r_o + \gamma r_{o^*},
\]

where \( \gamma \) denotes the size of the step. In Fig. 20 (a), the updated state and the vector of the state are denoted by...
quantum states has the same behavior as the larger input set, so clustering and other approximations can be made with smaller coresets. The coreset can be viewed as a smaller input set of channel output states, hence it can be used as the input to an approximation algorithm. The weighted sum of errors of the smaller coreset is a \((1 + \varepsilon)\) approximation of the larger input set. The bound on this error can be decreased only if the center points that form a finite set are used in the approximation. These coresets are called weak coresets [22], [25] and this method can be applied in quantum space between quantum states.

Using weak coresets, the run time of \((1 + \varepsilon)\) coreset algorithms [25] with respect to quantum informational distance can be improved. To construct the coreset method analyzing the superactivation of zero-error capacity quantum channels, we have to introduce the definition of similar quantum informational distances and weak coresets of quantum states.

6.1 Similar quantum informational distance

The quantum informational distance is asymmetric and contains singularities, since there exist density matrices \(\rho\) and \(\sigma\) for which \(D(\rho, \sigma) = \infty\). The similar quantum informational divergence function does not contain these singularities and these distances are approximately symmetric. To use the similar quantum informational divergence function, first we define it as follows. The quantum informational distance function \(D(\rho || \sigma)\) between density matrices \(\rho\) and \(\sigma\) is \(\mu\)-similar for a positive real constant \(\mu\), if there exists a positive definite matrix \(A\) such that

\[
\mu D_A(\rho || \sigma) \leq D(\rho || \sigma) \leq D_A(\rho || \sigma). \tag{27}
\]

For quantum informational distances, if the domain is given as \(\chi = [\lambda, \gamma] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\), then \(\mu = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}\) and \(A = \frac{1}{2\lambda} I\).

If we have \(0 < \lambda < \gamma\), then the quantum informational distance function can be calculated by the \(D(\rho || \sigma)\) quantum relative entropy function on the domain \(\chi = [\lambda, \gamma] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\) [25]. As can be proven, the quantum informational distance is \(\mu\)-similar if \(\mu = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}\) and \(A = \frac{1}{2\lambda} I\). In these cases, the quantum informational distance function is \(\mu\)-similar, because it is restricted to a sub-domain, which avoids the singularities [19]. It can be easily proven that the quantum informational distance function is strictly convex and all second-order partial derivatives exist and are continuous on the domain \(\chi = [\lambda, \gamma] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\) with parameters \(\mu = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}\) and \(A = \frac{1}{2\lambda} I\) [19]. The applied coreset algorithm was
originally presented by Chen et al. [20], [21]. We show that this method can be used to generate a coreset based on a similar quantum informational distance function. To obtain an enhanced version of previously known coreset approximation algorithms, we must define weak coresets.

6.2 Weak coreset of quantum states

The weak coresets include all the relevant information required to analyze the original extremely large input set. The coreset approach has significantly lower computational complexity, hence it can be applied very efficiently [19], [20]. In our method, weak coresets are applied to $\mu$-similar quantum informational distances since, in these subsets, the distances between quantum states are symmetric, hence singularities can be avoided and fast Euclidean methods can be applied. To analyze the properties of quantum channels, we use the same method as presented in [19]. We use this subset to approximate the original input set, with approximately $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ error, hence we can put forward the following statement.

The superactive quantum channels can be discovered with approximation error $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$, by using the smaller $\mu$-similar subset of input quantum states.

Using the results of Chen’s work [20], we show that by using this algorithm, the superactivation capability of quantum channels can be approximated with error $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$, using the smaller $\mu$-similar subset of input quantum states. Applying Chen’s modified algorithm, the $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$-approximation can be obtained in run time $O(dkn)$, where $k$ is the number of medians of channel output quantum states. The goal of the algorithm is to find a set of size $k$ such that the sum of errors of quantum informational distances is minimized, hence

$$\text{error}(\rho, \sigma) = \sum_{\rho \in S} D_{\rho}(\rho\|\sigma).$$

The algorithm solves the $k$-median problem with respect to the quantum informational distance $D_{\rho}$ in quantum space. The output of the algorithm is a set of $k$ quantum states, for which the function $\text{error}(\rho, \sigma)$ is minimized. We generalize the $k$-median problem for quantum informational distances. Let us assume that we have two quantum states $\rho$ and $\sigma$ in domain $S$. We would like to construct a subset of $S_{\text{OUT}}$ of $k$ quantum states, for which

$$D_{\rho}(\rho, S_{\text{OUT}}) = \min_{\rho \in S} D_{\rho}(\rho\|\sigma).$$

The $k$-median problem for quantum states can be stated as follows. We would like to use only a finite set $S_{\text{IN}}$ of quantum states from the original larger space. For a set $S_{\text{IN}}$, we would like to construct a set $S_{\text{OUT}}$ of $k$-quantum states, for which

$$\text{error}(S_{\text{IN}}, S_{\text{OUT}}) = \sum_{\rho \in S_{\text{IN}}} D_{\rho}(\rho\|S_{\text{OUT}})$$

is minimized, hence

$$\text{error}(S_{\text{IN}}, S_{\text{OUT}}) = \sum_{\rho \in S_{\text{IN}}} D_{\rho}(\rho\|S_{\text{OUT}}).$$

(29)

The error of the optimal solution for input states $S_{\text{IN}}$ is denoted by $\text{opt}(S_{\text{IN}})$, and the elements of the output set $S_{\text{OUT}}$ are the $k$-median-quantum states of set $S_{\text{IN}}$. To construct a more efficient algorithm, we use only the $\mu$-similar quantum informational distances, hence the set of input quantum states $S_{\text{IN}}$ is restricted to quantum states for which the singularities can be avoided [19].

The superactivation properties of quantum channels can be discovered by using $\mu$-similar quantum informational distances and the coreset construction method.

For any set $S_{\text{IN}}$ of size $n$ quantum states and for any finite $W \subseteq S$, there exists a weak coreset of size $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} k \log(n) \log(k'W^d \log n)\right)$. This $W$-weak coreset of quantum states can be constructed in time $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} k \log(n) \log(k'W^d \log n + dkn)\right)$, where $k$ is the number of quantum states in set $S_{\text{OUT}}$, $n$ is the number of input states and $d$ is the dimension of the points. The previous result can be integrated into our analysis as follows.

Using $\mu$-similar quantum informational distances and the $W$-weak coreset of quantum states, the superactivation of quantum channels can be analyzed by an $(1 + \varepsilon)$-approximation algorithm in a run time $O\left(d^2 2^{k/c} \log^{k+c} n + dnk\right)$. With the result of Banerjee et al. [27], the optimal 1-median of any given input set $S$ in quantum space can be uniquely defined by the centroid $c = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{\rho \in S} \rho$.

Using the fact that an optimal solution of the $k$-median clustering problem can be approached by $(k-1)$ linearly separable subspaces, it can be shown that for any set $S_{\text{IN}}$, at most $n^k$ states have to be considered as one of the optimal $k$-median quantum states of $S_{\text{IN}}$ [20], [21]. We use a smaller set $S$ from $S_{\text{IN}}$, which is a small weighted set that has the same clustering behavior as the larger input set $S_{\text{IN}}$. The coreset method used in our approach can be defined by the error of the approximation in terms of the quantum informational distance between quantum states as follows:

$$\text{error}_{w}(S, S_{\text{OUT}}) = \sum_{\rho \in S} w(\rho) D(\rho\|S_{\text{OUT}}),$$

and this error is a $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$-approximation of $\text{error}(S_{\text{IN}}, S_{\text{OUT}})$ for any set of quantum states $S_{\text{OUT}}$ of size $|S_{\text{OUT}}| = k$. For the weak coreset construction,
let us assume that we have a set of quantum states $S_{IN}$ and a set $\mathcal{W}$. If the weight function is defined by
\[
\sum_j w(\rho) = |S_{IN}|,
\]
then the weighted set $S$ is a $\mathcal{W}$-weak coreset of $S_{IN}$, iff for all $S_{OUT} \in \mathcal{W}$ of size $|S_{OUT}| = k$, we have
\[
|error(S_{IN}, S_{OUT}) - error_w(S, S_{OUT})| \leq (\epsilon)error(S_{IN}, S_{OUT}).
\]
This $\mathcal{W}$-weak coreset is called the $(k, \epsilon)$ weak-coreset of $S_{IN}$. To get this construction with this error bound, we use the results of Chen [20].

6.2.1 Coreset method for quantum informational distances
To apply the modified coreset method, we have to construct a $[\alpha, \beta]$ bicriteria approximation algorithm to get the set of median quantum states $M = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k\}$ of a $k$-median clustering of $S_{IN}$, for which $error(S_{IN}, M) \leq opt_k(S_{IN})$ and $|M| = k \leq \beta k$. Using the results of [19], [25] the bicriteria algorithm can be summarized as follows:

Bicriteria algorithm to channel analysis

1. Choose an initial quantum state $\sigma_1$ uniformly at random from $S_{IN}$
2. Let $M$ be the set of chosen quantum states from $S_{IN}$. State $\rho \in S_{IN}$ is chosen with probability $\frac{D(\rho||\sigma)}{error(S_{IN}, M)}$ as next state of $M$.
3. Repeat step 2 until $M$ contains $k$ quantum states.

At the end of the bicriteria algorithm, we have a set of median quantum states $M = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k\}$, for which $error(S_{IN}, M) \leq opt_k(S_{IN})$ and $|M| = k \leq \beta k$. After application of the bicriteria algorithm, we use the modified coreset construction method presented in [20] to the quantum states as follows:

Coreset algorithm to quantum channel analysis

1. Partition $S_{IN}$ into $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_l$ by assuming each quantum state $\rho \in S_{IN}$ to their closest $\sigma_r \in M$.
2. Let $\rho \in S_{IN}$ iff $\sigma_r = \arg \min_{\sigma \in M} D(\rho||\sigma)$.
3. Let $R = \frac{1}{\sum_{\rho \in S_{IN}} w(\rho)}$.
4. Define quantum informational ball $B(\sigma_r)$ with radius $r'$ and center $\sigma_r$, as follows: $B(\sigma_r) = D(\rho||\sigma_r) \leq r$.
5. Define partition of $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of $S_{IN}$ by $S_i = S_j \cap B(\sigma_r)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.
6. Let $S_i = S_j \cap B(\sigma_r) \cap B(\sigma_j) \cap \ldots \cap B(\sigma_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $j = i, i+1, \ldots, y$, where $y = \lceil \log(an) \rceil$.
7. For $i, j$ let $S_{ij}$ be a uniform set from $S_{IN}$ of size $|S_{ij}| = m$.
8. Let $w(\rho) = \frac{1}{m}|S_{ij}|$ be the weight associated with $\rho \in S_{ij}$.
9. Define weak coreset $S$ of input quantum states $S_{IN}$ as follows:

$$S = \bigcup_{i,j} S_{ij} \text{ of size } |S| = n \cdot k \cdot y^2 \cdot \mu^2 \cdot \delta.$$
In Fig. 21, we illustrate the clustering of channel output states. In the clustering process, our algorithm computes the median-quantum states denoted by $\sigma_i$, using a fast weak coreset and clustering algorithm. In the next step, we compute the convex hull of the median quantum states and, from the convex hull, the radius of the smallest quantum informational ball can be obtained. The smallest superball measures the channel capacity, hence the radius of the superball is equal to the sum of radii of quantum balls of independent channel outputs. The output states are measured by a joint measurement setting.

As shown in Fig. 22 the proposed analysis combines the weak coreset method of Chen and the clustering algorithm presented by Ackermann. To use Chen’s method [20], [21] to construct the weak coreset, we apply a bicriteria algorithm [19], [20] to find the required parameters, then we apply the result of Ackermann et al. [19] in quantum space. In both methods, we use quantum informational distance functions as distance measures. With the help of the coreset method, we can construct a more efficient $(1 + \varepsilon)$-approximation algorithm in quantum space, using only a small subset of the original larger input set.

Using the modified weak coreset algorithm and the $(1 + \varepsilon)$-approximation algorithm, the superactivation of quantum channels can be analyzed relative to $\mu$-similar quantum informational distances and $k$ median-quantum states with error $\text{error}(S_{IN}, S_{OUT}) \leq (1 + 7\varepsilon)\text{opt}_k(S_{IN})$.

The overall run time of the algorithm used above has been proven to be $O(d^2k^{2/3})\log^{5/2} n + dkn)$, with constant probability, since the working mechanism of the proposed method is based on probabilistic events.

The bicriteria algorithm can be computed in time $O(dkn)$, hence the coreset method can be constructed in time $O(|W| + dkn)$.

In Fig. 23, we have compared the complexity of the coreset algorithm presented in [25] with our advanced weak coreset approach, as a function of input size. Both algorithms result in $(1 + \varepsilon)$-approximations, however the complexities of the proposed methods differ significantly.

As our results confirm, the complexity of the weak coreset method is significantly lower than for the coreset method, especially for a large number of input quan-
7 Conclusions and future work

This paper shows a fundamentally new algorithmic solution for superactivation of the asymptotic zero-error capacity of quantum channels. With the help of the proposed informational geometric approach, the complexity of the computation of the zero-error capacity of the quantum channels can be dramatically decreased. Using our method, a larger set of superactive zero-capacity channels can be discovered very efficiently and our method can bridge the gap between theoretical and experimental results. The proposed algorithmic solution can be the key to finding other possible channel models and channel parameter domains, with possible combinations being proved by theory. If there exist other combinations of channel models that realize superactivation of the classical zero-error capacity of the quantum channels, our method can find them. We have constructed an extremely fast recursive geometric algorithm to find the conditions for the computation and for the superactivation of the asymptotic classical zero-error capacity of the quantum channels.

This paper is intended as an introduction to our algorithmical framework to study the superactivation of the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels. Our method is the first to solve the problem of the computation of classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels.

In future work, we would like to show some explicit results of the combinations of channels used to realize the superactivation of the classical zero-error capacity of quantum channels. We would like to extend our method to analyze the superactivation of the asymptotic quantum capacity of zero-capacity quantum channels, and we would like to implement and verify our results in practice, using zero-capacity optical quantum channels.
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