

# Security for Society

- Is any Technological Possibility a Desirable Solution for Democracy?

Gerhard Schneider

IT centre / Dept of Informatics

University of Freiburg

gerhard.schneider@rz.uni-freiburg.de

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



**UNI  
FREIBURG**

# 9/11 and its aftermath

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

## Use technology to control everybody's life

- CCTV, bank data (SWIFT), long term storage of telephone & internet connection data,...

## Legally enforce the generation of more data

## Enable state agencies to correlate data

### Latest example:

- 10 US\$ entrance fee to the USA
- Payable with your credit card

### now they know where you are

- in the country, in the world ☺
- Note: they process your credit card data (world wide)

# Unawareness of risks to society

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

Politicians use what is available even if they promised otherwise

- example: German TollCollect originally **only** for accounting – why not use it for prosecution?

Politicians openly question classical basics

*in dubio pro reo:*

- should this really hold in the digital world?

is digital privacy really necessary?

- it hampers the control mania
- it does not please the music industry

# Unawareness of risks to society

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

Lawyers still believe that we can hide in the enormous „sea of data“

- latest purchase in Freiburg: 8CPUs/512GB, 40K€
- enough to hold 80 bytes for every human on earth in main memory (fast access for indexing...)

German Parliament tries to block the WWW to fight child pornography

- in reality an ineffective, if not useless scheme
- which does not even address the problem
  - Why ask specialists??
- It sets up an infrastructure which can be used for all sorts of censorship (to support a crumbling old world)

# Interdisciplinary cooperation

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

Nobody listens if technicians complain ☹️

- They should stay in their labs

Team up with other disciplines:

- e.g. joint seminars
- Law and Computer Science
- Sociology and Microsystems Technology
- IT and Philosophy

Provide them with up-to-date insight

- Scare them 😊 and discuss new theories
- Think about how to advance in your own field

# Various task forces at Freiburg

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

Three major fields of interest have evolved:

- Thinking in security terms – basic human behaviour and requirements
- New architectures for integrating security demands into society
- Technology for security vs. changes to society

# Schizophrenic behaviour

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

Governments want to harvest data in social networks to identify terrorists

- And petty criminals (with a much higher success rate)

At the same time military warn their staff not to use social networks as classified information might leak out or may be deduced

- The Israeli army was the first to warn in public

The wife of the new MI6 boss publishes private information in facebook – jeopardizing national security



## There is no anonymity

- if you keep data
- and do cross-correlation
- 80% of US citizens can be identified via ZIPcode, birthday and gender

## Example: identify people via behavioural patterns in video recordings

- already used in court!

## Use face recognition to identify people in pictures on *flickr.com*

- you may be on someone else's snapshot, proving you were in a certain location – and not where you claim to have been



# Thinking security

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

In an emergency, will people behave the way the legal system expects them to behave?

- Looting, lynching,...

Use computer simulation like computer games to study human behaviour

- To get larger and more useful samples

TV series influencing public view of security?

- Cooperation of psychology, law, economy, humanities and IT

If you understand human behaviour – what are the right procedures / rules?



Traditionally law experts only check the side effects of surveillance against individual paragraphs of the constitution

- The addition of various effects is not studied

Is it clever to introduce a specific security measure?

- Or should priority be given to the study of side effects and cumulative effects

What is the price/cost of not installing counter measures to certain (criminal) offences?

- E.g. is it worth it to fight any copyright violation?



## How do counter measures stop the evolution of society?

- breaking obsolete laws is part of progress
- e.g. shopping hours in Germany were once quite rigid
- scanning of books by Google may be illegal, but may be good for public knowledge

## Will surveillance also modify the target?

- If a computer is hacked by a government agency, will the modified routines modify the evidence?

## If the state grants anonymity in the classical world, why not in the digital world?

- I may use a public payphone without any ID, but not a mobile phone

# Potential approaches / analysis

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

A department store may want to operate cameras to fight theft

- But why store the video for a long time?
- Or why allow security staff to monitor their girl friends?

Can we merge these contradicting requests?

- Why do we have to see the individual rather than the anonymous human on video in order to fight theft?

# Potential approaches / solutions?

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

## Digital stealth mode

I carry a device (e.g. a mobile phone)

- When I enter a department store my device receives information on the store's policy w.r.t privacy

I may agree to a contract

- store security may monitor me if it does not process/store identifiable information
- e.g. only a shadow of me will be produced by the security camera, so that my actions may still be seen, but not my face nor my clothes.

or I do not enter the store



# Potential approaches

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI  
FREIBURG

## How do we make sure that the camera will always adhere to the rules?

- Use hardware specification & verification technology from computer science
- So that my device can check whether it speaks to a verified (and unmodifiable) camera

## How do we introduce such verifications into the legal process?

- e.g. have laws with attached hardware specifications
- Useful side effects: if politicians want new features, prior to a firmware update a new legal process is required
- And my device will detect the change – I can then act accordingly.



## Security concerns are usually solved nationally

- Through legislation and politics
- This is pointless if we have strict rules on processing existing data – but the CIA has not

## The Internet allows for international access to all available data

- International legislation is quite difficult
- Unless the legal systems do not differ too much (Japan/Germany??)

Rather than endangering its citizens should a state invest more in protecting its citizens against the curiosity of friendly states?



## New threats call for new security measures

- Surveillance and storage of resulting data is seen as a security measure.

## The more data are accumulated the less secure the individual is

- Society is formed by individuals!

## What is the right balance?

- Introduce technical understanding in classical fields

## Common issue for the Japanese and the German society

- Freiburg is looking forward to cooperating with the NII